

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

STEPHEN TAPP,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HEALTH
SCIENCES CENTER AT HOUSTON –
SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY,

Defendant.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 4:11-CV-02971

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance [Court Docket # 12]. Having considered the motion, the opposition thereto, any reply, all pleadings on file, and any argument, the Court is of the opinion that the motion is not well founded and that it should be denied.

It is therefore ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance is DENIED in all respects.

SIGNED this the _____ day of _____, 2011.

HONORABLE LEE H. ROSENTHAL
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE